

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/582,411	03/12/2007	Robert Blumenthal	59526(47992)	1153	
21874 EDWARDS A	7590 03/16/201 NGELL PALMER & F	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 55874			WANG, SHENGJUN		
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1627		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/16/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/582,411	BLUMENTHAL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SHENGJUN WANG	1627	

	CHENGOUN WING	TOE,					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
□ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(00/-1					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp							
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to thin the time period set forth in 37	avoid dismissal of the CFR 41.37(a).	appeal. Since a				
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, it (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor 	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		E below),					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		ducina or simplifyina th	ne issues for				
appeal; and/or		9,9					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	•	•					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an ex	cplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1.4.6-18 and 23-33. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2.3.5.19-22 and 3	<u>4-36</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filling a ble	sting of Appeal will pot	ha antarad				
 The allidavit of order evidence field after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see continuation sheet. 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowant	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:							
	/Shengjun Wang/						
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1627					
	a. j Examinor, rat o						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the new claim 37 and amendemtns to claim 23 may raise new issue for further consideration.

Continuation of 11: because of the reasons set forth in the prior offcie action. Particularly, the fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior at cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See Ex parte Obiaya, 227 USPO 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. 8. Inter, 1985), Note, Cander et al. discloses that retinoids from the limited to retinioid cadd) play an essential role in controlling the normal differentiation of epithesisus. (col. 1, lines 38-40, emphasis added), Cander teaches that 4-HPR retains the active as retinoids but with much less toxicity. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to try 4-HPR as a substitute of other known retinoid because of its know toxicity.